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Comparison of the efficacy of bone marrow mononuclear cells and bone mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of osteoarthritis in a sheep model
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Abstract: Objective: To evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of uncultured bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMCs) and bone mesenchymal stem cells in an osteoarthritis (OA) model of sheep. Methods: Induction of sheep OA was performed surgically through anterior cruciate ligament transection and medial meniscectomy. After 12 weeks, concentrated BMMCs obtained from autologous bone marrow harvested from anterior iliac crest or a single dose of 10 million autologous bone mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was delivered to the injured knee via direct intra-articular injection. Animals of the PBS group received vehicle alone. The contra-lateral joints were selected randomly as the control group. Knees of the four groups were compared macroscopically and histologically, and glycosaminoglycan (GAG) contents normalized to cartilage wet weight were measured at lesions of cartilage from medial condyle of the femur head. Gene expression levels of type II collagen (Col2A1), Aggrecan and matrix metalloproteinase-13 (MMP-13) in cartilage were measured based on RT-PCR and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α) and Transforming Growth Factor beta (TGF-β) concentrations in synovial fluid were determined with ELISA assays at 8 weeks after injection. Results: At 8 weeks post cell transplantation, partial cartilage repair was observed in the cell therapy, but not the PBS group (P<0.05). The BMSCs group showed higher regeneration of cartilage and lower proteoglycan loss than the BMMCs group (P<0.05). Concentrated BMMCs injection led to a weaker treatment effect, but also inhibited PGE2, TNF-α and TGF-β levels in synovial fluid and promoted higher levels of Aggrecan and Col2A1 and downregulation of MMP-13 in sheep chondrocytes in a similar manner to BMSCs, compared with the PBS group. Conclusions: Bone marrow cells showed therapeutic efficacy in a sheep model of OA. Despite similar therapeutic potential, the easier and faster process of collection and isolation of BMMCs supports their utility as an effective alternative for OA treatment in the clinic.

Keywords: Mesenchymal stem cells, bone marrow mononuclear cells, OA, treatment, sheep

Introduction
Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint disease in middle-aged and older people [1]. To date, no drugs have been successfully developed to structurally modify OA processes or prevent disease progression [2]. Various therapeutic interventions, surgical or pharmacological, can be performed to relieve the symptoms. However, none of the current OA treatments leads to satisfactory outcomes and often end in arthroplasty.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can be easily isolated from various mesenchymal tissues, including bone marrow, fat or synovial membrane, and massively expanded in culture in an undifferentiated state for therapeutic use [3]. Their intrinsic self-renewal ability and differentiation potential into chondrocytes, adipocytes and osteocytes are well documented [4]. Moreover, MSCs protect cartilage from further tissue destruction and facilitate the regeneration of progenitor cells via secretion of various bioactive soluble factors [5, 6]. OA is characterized by a catabolic and inflammatory joint environment. Thus, the key to effective OA treatment is to promote cartilage regeneration and reduce local inflammation [7]. Owing to the above characteristics, MSCs have significant therapeutic potential, and several recent stud-
ies have confirmed the utility of MSCs implantation as an alternative treatment for osteoarthritis [8-11].

The majority of studies on cell therapy to date have used BMSCs instead of BMMCs. To maximize the therapeutic effect of BMSCs, expansion in the laboratory to obtain adequate numbers and highly pure cells for implantation is essential. Notably, BMMCs, enriched with BMSCs, have also been shown to be beneficial. Earlier clinical trials have demonstrated therapeutic effects of BMMCs in ischemic brain injury [12], myocardial infarction [13], spinal cord injury [14], chronic liver damage [15] and osteonecrosis of femoral head [16]. BMMCs and BMSCs appear to share similar potential in promoting tissue protection and functional recovery. Although BMMCs have been increasingly applied to treat bone and joint disorders and other diseases, studies on their application in OA therapy are lacking.

In the present study, we prepared concentrated autologous BMMCs and culture-expanded BMSCS from bone marrow harvested from the anterior iliac crest of sheep. Subsequently, collected autologous BMMCs and BMSCS were injected into knees which had subjected to anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) transection and medial meniscectomy to induce OA model, respectively. In this study, we wish to address the following questions: 1) whether intra-articular injection of autologous BMMCs delays OA progression, and 2) whether BMMCs are an effective alternative to BMSCs in OA treatment.

Materials and methods

Study design

Eighteen sheep (Small Tail Han, Inner Mongolia, China) approximately 32 months old with an average weight of 80 kg were used in the study. Animals were randomly divided into three treatment groups: PBS (n=6), PBS + BMSCs (n=6), and PBS + BMMCs (n=6). Contralateral joints were selected at random as the control group (n=6). We observed no differences between the groups with respect to age and weight. OA was induced in the right knee joint of all donor animals via complete ACL transection and medial meniscectomy. The PBS + BMSCs group underwent bone marrow aspiration for BMSCs preparation 9 weeks after surgery. Animals in different treatment groups received a single intra-articular injection of autologous BMSCs or BMMCs as a suspension in PBS or PBS alone at 12 weeks after surgery.

Osteoarthritis induction in sheep

The study was approved by the Nanjing Medical University Animal Ethical Committee. Animals were treated with 3 mg/kg ketamine and 5 mg atropine via intramuscular injection for induction of anesthesia, and maintained with intravenous injection of 3% pentobarbital. ACL excision and medial meniscectomy were performed using the methods described by Murphy et al. [8], with modifications. A lateral parapatellar skin incision was made beginning at a level 2 cm proximal to the patella and extending to the tibial plateau level. Subcutaneous tissue was incised, the lateral aspect of the vastus lateralis and joint capsule incised, and the patella luxated medially to expose the trochlear groove and medial and lateral condyles of the distal femur.

ACL removal was performed by initially excising its attachment on the medial aspect of the lateral femoral condyle. The proximal attachment was brought forward and the entire ligament excised from its tibial attachment. A drawer test was performed to ensure that the entire cruciate ligament had been excised.

Meniscus was removed via sharp excision. The caudal horn of the meniscus was grasped with a hemostat and its lateral attachment excised from its tibial attachment. Working from caudal to lateral and then cranial, the meniscus was excised from its attachments until complete removal was achieved.

Postoperatively, sheep received an intramuscular injection of 2.4 million units of penicillin to prevent infection.

After a recovery period of 2 weeks, all animals were made to run on a hard surface for a distance of 2 km once a week for 10 weeks. At other times, all sheep were allowed free movement.

Isolation of BMMCs and expansion of BMSCs

Bone marrow of donor sheep was collected under general anesthesia from the iliac crest.
An aliquot of bone marrow (30 ml) was aspirated with a 50 ml heparinized sterile syringe. As the bone marrow components are stratified in accordance with density, BMMCs were isolated via density gradient centrifugation with Cellgro (Mediatech), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After centrifugations, some red blood cells (non-nucleated cells) and plasma were isolated and removed. BMMCs were collected and washed with PBS. Following cell counting, BMMCs were resuspended in PBS to a final concentration of $9 \times 10^7$ cells/ml. Finally, a yield of 5 ml concentrated BMMCs was obtained and placed in syringes for injection.

For BMSCs preparation, the aspirate was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 20 min. Precipitated cells were suspended in DMEM/F12 (Ham’s F12:high glucose DMEM 1:1; Hyclone) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone), 1x antibiotic (Hyclone), plated in a 75 cm$^2$ plastic culture flask and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$ in the same medium. After 7 days, red blood cells were washed with PBS, and fresh medium added. On day 10, cells reached confluence and were detached with 0.25% Trypsin/0.1% EDTA (GIBCO) and recultured as the first passage with the same culture medium.

**Intra-articular injection of BMSCs and BMMCs**

At 12 weeks after surgery, cells cultured for 3 weeks (Passage 2) were trypsinized, washed and suspended in PBS at a density of $2 \times 10^6$ cells/ml. The PBS + BMSCs group received a single dose of $1 \times 10^7$ cells (5 ml) via intra-articular injection into the osteoarthritic knee joint using an 18-gauge needle after anesthesia. Animals of the PBS + BMMCs group underwent bone marrow collection and preparation of BMMCs as described above, and an intra-articular injection of $4.5 \times 10^8$ cells suspended in 5 ml PBS. The PBS group received an injection of the same volume of PBS alone. Following injection, the joint was repeatedly flexed and extended to disperse the suspension throughout the articular space.

**ELISA assays for synovial fluid analyses**

Synovial fluid was collected from the knees of animals from all four groups at eight weeks after injection. Concentrations of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α) and Transforming Growth Factor beta (TGF-β) in synovial fluid samples were measured using the Sheep prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) ELISA kit, Sheep Tumor Necrosis Factor α (TNF-α) ELISA Kit and Sheep Transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) ELISA kit (Cusabio), respectively. Assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reactions were read at 450 nm, and the concentrations calculated.

**Macroscopic examination**

At eight weeks post-injection, animals were sacrificed. Knees of experimental sheep were examined macroscopically by two independent (blinded) examiners. Macroscopic findings were classified and scored in accordance with the International Cartilage Repair Society (ICRS) cartilage repair assessment system [17]. Overall, repair assessment of the articular cartilage surface of the femoral condyle was scored from normal (0 point) to severely abnormal (4 points). Finally, scores assigned by the two examiners were averaged to obtain the overall score.

**Histological examination**

After macroscopic measurements, the distal head of the femur was dissected, fixed in 10% formalin, decalcified and processed for histologic analysis. Sections were embedded in paraffin, cut into 5 μm slices, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and safranin-O using standard methods. We assessed the severity of knee OA using modified Mankin criteria described by Armstrong and colleagues [18]. All sections were graded by two independent assessors blinded to the treatment group, and the mean scores used for statistical analysis.

**Proteoglycan assay**

Full-thickness plugs of cartilage (5 mm in diameter) were removed from lesions of medial condyle of the femur head. Each sample was weighed, dried at 50°C for 12 h, digested in 2 ml papain solution overnight at 65°C, and stored at 4°C. The total proteoglycan concentration in cartilage was determined using a standard glycosaminoglycan (GAG) assay based on the dimethylmethylene blue method [19]. GAG concentrations were normalized to cartilage wet weight (µg/mg), and the results presented as GAG content (n=6 per group).
RNA extraction and RT-PCR analysis of cartilage

Total RNA was isolated from regenerated cartilage using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and chloroform reagents, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentrations were measured using a spectrophotometer, and samples with values of 1.7-2.0 were used. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from RNA using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Japan). Real-time PCR was carried out to determine the gene expression levels of type II collagen (Col2A1), Aggrecan, matrix metalloproteinase-13 (MMP-13) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) of sheep chondrocytes. The generation of specific PCR products was confirmed with melting-curve analysis, and data presented as target gene expression normalized to GAPDH. The primers used are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Sequences of primers for the real-time RT-PCR analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer 5’ to 3’</th>
<th>Fragment (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Col2A1</td>
<td>F: GTGTCTGTGACACTGGGACT</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004006408.1</td>
<td>R: CTGGGCTCTGTTCACCTGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggrecan</td>
<td>F: GCCATCTGCTACACAGGTGA</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF019758.1</td>
<td>R: AAAAGGCTCCTCAGTTCCTGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-13</td>
<td>F: GAGGTCAGCTGGCCAGACTGTG</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001166179.1</td>
<td>R: CAGAGGTGTCACTCAAGACCCAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>F: AGTTCCACGGCGAGCAGTCAAG</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001190390.1</td>
<td>R: AACATGGGACCGTCAGCACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis

All quantitative datasets are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess statistically significant differences between the groups. In cases where differences were statistically significant, the SNK-q test was performed for pairwise comparisons. Date were considered statistically significant at P<0.05.

Results

Clinical observations

Animals recovered 1-2 h after surgery. There was no evidence of infection in any of the animals during the experiments. All animals bore weight on the operated joint well after surgery. We observed no inflammation, immunization, or unloading of the joint resulting from cell treatment.

Macroscopic findings

Macroscopically, the articular cartilage surface of medial femoral condyle in the PBS group varied from complete absence of regeneration to the presence of craters eight weeks after injection (Figure 1B). The joints receiving BMMCs showed smaller lesions of the femoral condyle, compared to the PBS group (Figure 1C). In the BMSCs group, the surface of the medial femoral condyle was relatively smooth (Figure 1D). No evidence of cartilage destruction was observed in any of the contra-lateral joints (Figure 1A).

According to the ICRS grading system, a lesion score of 3.58 ± 0.49 was assigned to the PBS group, while animals of the BMMCs and BMSCs groups received lesion scores of 2.08 ± 0.59 and 1.5 ± 0.45, respectively. Improved recovery was observed in both BMMCs and BMSCs transplantation groups, compared with the non cell-injected PBS group. These differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). Comparison of the ICRS scores between the cellular therapy groups clearly indicated enhanced improvement with BMSCs relative to BMMCs (P<0.05) (Figure 1E).

Histological observations

Joints of animals in the PBS group exhibited substantial fibrillation of the articular surface with loss of extracellular matrix (Figure 2B, 2F), compared with the contralateral joints (Figure 2A, 2E). Despite some damage to the superficial and middle layers of cartilage, the BMMCs group displayed a degree of cartilage regeneration (Figure 2C, 2G). The BMSCs group displayed large numbers of chondrocytes with substantial extracellular matrix (ECM) staining of the articular cartilage comparable to normal contra-lateral knee joint, which showed no evidence of cartilage destruction (Figure 2A, 2D, 2E and 2H).

At 8 weeks post-transplantation, the PBS group displayed a statistically significant higher OA score, compared with the control group (P<0.05). Significant differences in OA scores
were observed between the PBS and cell therapy groups, implying that cell therapy leads to reasonable regeneration of cartilage (P<0.05). Moreover, the BMSCs group displayed a lower
OA score, compared to the BMMCs group, which was statistically significant ($P<0.05$) (Figure 2).

**GAG content of lesions of cartilage**

Despite variations in data, the same trend was essentially observed across all specimens. The proteoglycan content of cartilage was significantly decreased in the PBS group, compared with the control group ($P<0.05$). However, the GAG content in cartilage was markedly higher in the cellular therapy groups, compared to the PBS group ($P<0.05$). Analysis of the BMMCs and BMSCs groups revealed a higher GAG protection effect with injection of BMSCs after 8 weeks of treatment, causing a significant increase in the proteoglycan concentration ($P<0.05$) (Figure 3).

**RT-PCR analysis**

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed to analyze Col2A1, Aggrecan and MMP-13 gene expression in chondrocytes from different groups. At eight weeks post-injection, we observed a significant decrease in Col2A1 and Aggrecan and an increase in MMP-13 gene expression in the PBS group, compared with the control group ($P<0.05$). Cellular therapy led to increased Col2A1 and Aggrecan and decreased MMP-13 levels ($P<0.05$). Compared to the BMMCs group, chondrocytes from injured knees receiving BMSCs treatment showed higher Aggrecan and lower MMP-13 gene expression ($P<0.05$). Additionally, the BMSCs group showed increased Col2A1 gene expression compared with the BMMCs group, but this difference was not statistically significant (Figure 4).

**Cytokine concentrations in synovial fluid**

Synovial PGE2 concentrations were significantly increased with induction of OA (5.13 ± 0.21 pg/mL; $P<0.05$), compared to the control group (1.52 ± 0.13 pg/mL). The BMSCs group (4.27 ± 0.17 pg/mL) displayed a significant ($P<0.05$) decrease in synovial PGE2 concentration, compared with the PBS group. No significant treatment effects were evident in the BMMCs group.

TNF-α concentrations in synovial fluid were markedly increased with induction of OA ($P<0.05$). OA-affected joints contained increased TNF-α levels (3.56 ± 0.26 pg/mL), compared to the control group (0.87 ± 0.07). A significant decrease in synovial TNF-α concentration was evident in sheep treated with BMSCs (2.97 ± 0.22 pg/mL; $P<0.05$), compared to those administered PBS. BMMCs injection inhibited the TNF-α level in synovial fluid induced by OA (3.42 ± 0.19 pg/mL), but not to a significant extent.

Synovial fluid TGF-β concentrations were significantly increased with induction of OA (19.38 ± 1.45 ng/mL; $P<0.05$), compared with the control group (2.66 ± 0.17 ng/mL). Cellular therapy groups displayed a considerable decrease in
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synovial fluid TGF-β concentrations (P<0.05), compared with the PBS group. A more significant (P<0.05) decrease in synovial TGF-β concentrations was evident in BMSCs-treated (13.5 ± 1.29 ng/mL) than BMMCs-treated sheep (17.26 ± 1.36 ng/mL) (Figure 5).

Discussion

Bone marrow hosts multipotent stem cells that are easily purified by adhesion to plastic surfaces and share numerous properties. MSCs from bone marrow are widely used for cartilage tissue regeneration due to their self-renewal and differentiating properties into chondrogenic lineages [6]. Since Murphy et al. showed that local delivery of adult MSCs to injured joints stimulates regeneration of meniscal tissue and retards progressive cartilage destruction in a sheep OA model [8], stem cell therapy has displayed extensive potential in tissue regeneration and function recovery for a variety of pathologies, including rheumatoid arthritis [20], osteonecrosis [21], stroke [22], myocardial infarct [23], and meniscus injury [24].

As reported previously, injection of BMSCs enhanced the regeneration of cartilage in surgically induced OA. The ability of MSCs to differentiate into diverse phenotypes makes them excellent candidates as therapeutic cells for the repair of damaged tissues. Additionally, MSCs migrate to injured tissue and act as a local “factory” of molecules promoting angiogenesis, neuroprotection, modulation of inflammatory response, and reduction of scar formation [22, 25, 26]. These “trophic” effects are distinct from direct differentiation of MSCs into repair tissue [27]. Occasionally, marked therapeutic effects along with a very low number of MSCs expressing differentiated markers signify a contribution of the trophic effect of MSCs to positive therapeutic effects. Consistent with the above results, upregulation of Col2A1 and Aggrecan, downregulation of MMP-13, and significant increase in GAG content in the cell therapy groups in our study imply that bone marrow cells promote cartilage matrix synthesis and reduced inflammation of the microenvironment of chondrocytes.
Here, we showed that bone marrow cell injection regulates the proinflammatory cytokine levels in synovial fluid. We obtained similar results to Frisbie et al. [28] who reported that treatment with BMSCs significantly decreases synovial fluid PGE2 levels in the OA-affected limb in a horse model. Additionally, the decrease in TNF-α and TGF-β following treatment with autologous bone marrow cells further clarified that the therapeutic effect of bone marrow cells is partially attributed to regulation of the joint microenvironment. Cytokines, such as TNF-α, produced by activated synoviocytes, mononuclear cells or articular cartilage itself, significantly upregulate metalloproteinases (MMPs) gene expression. TNF-α induces joint articular cells, such as chondrocytes and synovial cells, to stimulate proteases and prostaglandin PGE2 production [29]. Historically, an increase in PGE2 is positively correlated with increase in pain. MSCs are immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory cells that inhibit production of TNF-α by activated macrophages in vitro [30]. TGF-β is traditionally considered a central anabolic or reparative mediator. However, TGF-β-mediated signaling through the activin receptor-like kinase 5 (ALK5) pathway causes transition of chondrocytes to a fibrogenic phenotype, resulting in many of the destructive processes of OA [31]. High TGF-β levels in synovial fluid in the OA-affected joint and significant decrease in TGF-β upon injection of bone marrow cells indicates that MSCs alter TGF-β secretion and participate in the anti-inflammatory effect. Although the precise mechanism is yet to be clarified, changes in the cytokine concentrations in synovial fluid were consistent with other findings.

Of special interest was the finding that transplantation of BMMCs also retards the progress of OA, albeit not to the same extent as BMSCs. A number of clinical researchers have validated the potential of BMMCs in the treatment of osteoarthritis and partial or full-thickness articular cartilage defects. In 2006, Centeno and co-workers published a case report describing partial articular surface regeneration in a

![Figure 5. Cytokines concentrations in synovial fluid of different groups by ELISA assays. Values are mean ± standard deviation of 6 sheep in each group. *P<0.05.](image-url)
seriously degenerated hip after autologous nucleated cell BM injections in a patient [32]. Slynarski et al. [33] combined periosteum with fresh BM in toto implantation for the treatment of a full-thickness cartilage defect in 14 patients, with satisfactory results.

The BMMCs preparation consists of a heterogeneous population of cells, including MSCs, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs), endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), adipocytes, macrophages, monocytes, neutrophils, and platelets [34, 35]. BMSCs migrate to target tissues and participate in repairing injured tissues [8, 36]. Freitas and colleagues showed that mouse MSCs in vivo fluorescence tracking with GFP transplanted into mouse spinal cord migrates towards the injury site [14]. In our study, a single dose of BMSCs was isolated and expanded in vitro from the same volume of bone marrow used to concentrate BMMCs, with the aim of comparing the results of different treatment groups. However, MSCs are a relatively rare population of cells within bone marrow. Only a small percentage (0.01%-0.001%) of the total mononuclear cells represents MSCs, and their amounts increase by 100-10,000 fold over several weeks under culture conditions [37-39]. Transplantation of BMMCs led to a weaker, yet significant therapeutic effect, compared with BMSCs. Thus, it is inappropriate to conclude that the therapeutic efficiency of bone marrow cells is completely attributable to its MSCs component.

The group of Wise reported that fresh uncultured BMMCs exhibit a similar degree of osteogenesis as culture-expanded MSCs when cultured in collagen-chitosan microbeads [40]. As mentioned above, BMMCs consist of two major types of components, specifically, BMSCs and HSCs along with other hematopoietic lineage cells. The latter secretes a variety of cytokines and growth factors, and may act in concert through paracrine signaling to enhance the survival and proliferation of BMSCs [34]. There is a synergistic effect between the non-MSCs component of the BMMCs preparation and BMSCs. Thus, we propose that even with a lower proportion of MSCs, BMMCs exert a reasonable regeneration effect on cartilage, and the non-MSCs component may play an important role in this process.

Despite the reported favorable outcomes, the issue of whether BMMCs are a suitable alternative to BMSCs in OA treatment remains a subject of concern. Culture-expanded MSCs are typically used in stem cell-based therapy due to well-established culture methods that allow plastic-adherent stem cells to be easily manipulated and expanded to produce large quantities for proposed clinical applications. However, the major disadvantages of in vitro culture expansion of MSCs include lengthy times, large costs, risk of contamination, and requirement of a Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) facility [40]. Furthermore, two-dimensional (2D) culture-expanded MSCs in vitro have been shown to exhibit altered antigenic and gene expression [41-45] and loss of multipotential differentiation capacity [46-48], compared with fresh uncultured MSCs. Considering that BMSCs isolated from the elderly may have decreased proliferation and differentiation potential and that OA often occurs in the middle-aged and older generation [49, 50], is it clinically as effective as we applied in animal experimental studies? Therefore, it will be a long period before MSCs therapy can be successfully applied in the clinic. However, the method for transplanting BMMCs permits direct processing of cells in the operating room without cultivation and immediate autologous administration, thus allowing transplantation to be performed in “one step”, leading to reduced costs, risk of contamination and no requirement for a GMP facility [51]. In view of these advantages and their reasonable regenerative effects on injured cartilage in OA, BMMCs appear to present a suitable potential alternative to BMSCs prior to clinical application by the GMP facility.

The present study has a number of limitations that it involved animal experiments, the cohort was relatively small, and we did not examine the long-term efficacy of bone marrow cell therapy. Prospective randomized controlled clinical studies with large sample sizes are necessary to confirm the therapeutic effects of intra-articular injection of autologous BMMCs. However, while the therapeutic effects of BMMCs are not as satisfactory as BMSCs, they clearly present a more cost-effective and faster alternative for OA treatment. We conclude that BMMCs have reasonable potential as an alternative therapeutic option for OA, although their long-term effects require further evaluation.
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